

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,301	09/16/2003	Donna Jean Crowther	2002U023.US	4331
75	90 04/07/2006		EXAM	INER
Univation Technologies, LLC			LU, C CAIXIA	
Suite 1950 5555 San Felipe			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77056			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

a/	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/664,301	CROWTHER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Caixia Lu	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe	bruary 2006.	•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	his application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	• .					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ewen et al. (US 4,530,914) in view of Reddy et al. (US 5,648,428) and Miya et al. (US 4,931,417) for the same rationale as set forth in the previous Office action mailed August 19, 2005.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed February 20, 2006 have been fully considered. The objection to the Specification and the rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph are withdrawn in view of the amendments of October 6, 2005. However, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is maintained.

Applicants argue that Reddy does not teach combining the second catalyst with the first activated first catalyst in the substantial absence of additional activator.

Applicants also argue that, to combine the disclosures of Reddy and Ewen, one would have to not activate one of the two Reddy catalysts and/or one would have to replace the Ziegler catalyst with a second metallocene. It is the examiner's position that applicants arguments are irrelevant to the rejection of record. Ewen is the primary reference which teaches a catalyst composition comprising two metallocene catalysts, while Reddy, the secondary reference, is only for remedy the missing element of diluent of mineral or silicon oil in Ewen's catalyst composition. As shown in the previous Office action, Reddy explicitly teaches suspending the metallocene catalyst solid particulates in mineral oil (col. 5, line 7-40). The purpose of suspending the catalyst composition in

a diluent such as mineral oil is for easy handling and minimizing the contacting of the catalyst with harmful material, therefore, it would have been obvious to suspend Ewen's catalyst composition is a diluent of mineral or silicone oil for the said benefit unless there is showing of criticality and unexpected results. Applicants have not yet shown any obvious difference between the instant claims and the teaching of the cited prior art; thus, the rejection is still deemed to be proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner